Executive Summary

The purpose of the experiment is to design a controller that allows you to command a desired pitch and yaw angle of a model helicopter. The model was purchased with the financial assistance of the Sir Ross and Sir Keith Smith Fund.

You will examine the performance of the system with an operator in the loop. A joystick is supplied that allows you to command the motors in open loop in order to compare human operator performance with computer control. The joystick will also be used to provide the operator with a closed loop controller, which improves operator performance and makes the system easier to use.

Introduction

The 2D flight simulator experiment shown in Figure 1 consists of a helicopter model mounted on a fixed base. The helicopter model has two propellers driven by DC motors. The pitch propeller and the yaw propeller are used to control the pitch and yaw of the model respectively. Motion about the two degrees of freedom is measured using two encoders.

Electrical signals and power from the pitch encoder and the two motors are transmitted via a slipring. This allows for unlimited yaw and eliminates the possibility of wires tangling on the yaw axis.

Mathematical Modelling

A complete mathematical model including propeller dynamics, forces generated by the propellers, static and dynamic friction of the bearings, etc, is very difficult to obtain. We will instead develop a simplified model. If you are interested, a more complete non-linear set of equations are presented in the appendix.

Consider the two DOF diagram shown in Figure 2. The pitch propeller is driven by a DC motor, whose speed is controlled via input voltage $V_p$. The speed of rotation results in a force $F_p$ that acts normal to the body at a distance $L$ from the pitch axis. The rotation of the propeller however also causes a load torque $T_p$ on the motor shaft (resulting from drag on the pitch fan blades), which is in turn seen at the yaw axis (parallel axis theorem). Thus rotating the pitch propeller does not only cause motion about the pitch axis but also about the yaw axis.

Similarly, the yaw motor driven by a voltage $V_y$ causes a force $F_y$ to act on the body at a distance $L$ from the yaw axis as well as a load torque $T_y$, which is experienced about the pitch axis.
The simplified equations of motion are then given by:

\[ \begin{align*}
J_{pp} \ddot{\rho} &\approx LF_p + T_y + R_c F_g \\
J_{yy} \ddot{\gamma} &\approx LF_y + T_p
\end{align*} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where:
- \( J_{pp} \) and \( J_{yy} \) (which are equal) are the moments of inertia of the body about the pitch and yaw axes respectively,
- \( \ddot{\rho} \) is the angular acceleration of the pitch, \( \rho \), relative to the horizontal axis. Positive \( \ddot{\rho} \) is when the fan is going up and \( \rho = 0 \) represents a horizontal body,
- \( \ddot{\gamma} \) is the angular acceleration of the yaw. The reference for yaw is not relevant. Positive is defined CCW looking from above,
- \( F_p \) and \( F_y \) are the pitch and yaw forces (respectively) generated by the propellers and are functions of the input voltages \( V_p \) and \( V_y \),
- \( L \) is the distance from the motors (both pitch and yaw) to the pivot,
- \( R_c \) is the horizontal distance of the centre of mass from the pivot. This is a function of pitch,
- \( F_g \) is the force due to gravity acting through the centre of mass.
- \( T_y \) and \( T_p \) are (unwanted coupling) torques at the propeller axes and are non-linear functions of the input voltages \( V_y \) and \( V_p \) respectively.
These equations are nonlinear but can be linearized to obtain an equation of the form:
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where:

- \( G_d = R_cF_g / J_{pp} \) is a gravitational constant disturbance,
- \( K_{fp} \) is the force generated in the pitch direction by a unit voltage applied to the pitch motor,
- \( K_{fp} \) is the force generated in the yaw direction by a unit voltage applied to the yaw motor, ie \( F_p = K_{fp}V_p \),
- \( K_{ty} \) is the force generated in the pitch direction by a unit voltage applied to the pitch motor, ie \( F_y = K_{ty}V_y \),
- \( K_{ty} \) is the torque generated in the pitch direction by a unit voltage applied to the yaw motor, ie \( T_y = -K_{ty}V_y \). Ideally this would be zero, and
- \( K_{tp} \) is the torque generated in the yaw direction by a unit voltage applied to the pitch motor, ie \( T_p = -K_{tp}V_p \). Ideally this would be zero.

The equations are actually considerably more complex than described above:

- a) Many of the moments generated are functions of pitch. We have assumed that the pitch is small.
- b) We have assumed that the lift is a linear function of motor drive voltage. In practice it is not.
- c) The dynamics of the rotating propellers will also affect the yaw and pitch axes but these effects are considered negligible,
- d) The response to a voltage input does not result in immediate response of the propeller speeds and thus output force; the time constants of these sub-systems are considered to be much faster than the body dynamics and hence can be neglected. However, these rotor poles are not a great deal faster than the body poles,
- e) Centrifugal forces during a yaw rotation will affect the pitch axis,
- f) Air turbulence around the body will result in unknown disturbances,
- g) Friction, both static and dynamic, has been completely ignored, despite the friction in the yaw being significant.

Despite all the approximations, the model is sufficient to design a working controller. The most important aspects of the system are the coupling parameters \( K_{ty} \) and \( K_{tp} \) and their signs. Note that a positive pitch voltage not only results in a positive pitch but also a negative yaw. The ideal controller would take advantage of this effect in order to obtain an efficient response. For example, when turning right a helicopter pilot pitches up and reduces throttle to the stabilizer (yaw motor) and when turning left, the pilot would pitch down and increase the throttle to the stabilizer.
Control System Design

Given that there is a gravitational disturbance term $G_d$, we clearly need integrators in the loop. We define two new states $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ resulting in the following augmented state space representation:
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where

- $\alpha = \int (p - r_p) dt$ and $\gamma = \int (y - r_y) dt$ are the integral of the pitch and yaw setpoint error respectively,
- $r_p$ and $r_y$ are the desired pitch and yaw (setpoint) respectively,
- $K_{\rho\rho} = L * K_{p\rho} / J_{pp} = 5.7718 \text{ rad/s}^2 / \text{V}$ is the pitch sensitivity and is equal to the angular acceleration in pitch per unit voltage into the pitch motor, likewise
- $K_{\gamma\gamma} = L * K_{p\gamma} / J_{yy} = 2.7886 \text{ rad/s}^2 / \text{V}$ is the yaw sensitivity and is equal to the angular acceleration in yaw per unit voltage into the yaw motor.
- The final two terms in the control matrix are $K_{\rho\gamma} = -K_{\gamma\rho} / J_{pp} = 0.3257 \text{ rad/s}^2 / \text{V}$ and $K_{\gamma\rho} = -K_{p\gamma} / J_{yy} = 0.6513 \text{ rad/s}^2 / \text{V}$ represent the coupling of the axes.

The sub-matrices in the state matrix and control matrix are simply the matrices prior to state augmentation, in other words Equation (2).

If you are wondering what such a system may look like, please jump ahead to Figure 3, which is a Simulink block diagram of what we are about the design and build.
Questions

Matlab Modelling

Question 1

Enter the non-augmented state and control matrix (as given by Equation 2) in Matlab. Let the state matrix be $A_m$ and the control matrix be $B_m$. Ignore the gravitational disturbance.

What are the poles of the open loop system? Describe what this means in relation to the physical system.

Question 2

Determine the condition number of the controllability matrix. From this determine if the system is controllable, noting how controllable it is.

Question 3

From the earlier discussion, the encoders provide us with both pitch and yaw. Determine the output matrix $C_m$ for the original system.

Question 4

Determine the condition number of the observability matrix. From this determine if the system is observable, noting how observable it is.

Question 5

We can now proceed in designing a full-state feedback controller for the system. First build the augmented state space system (as given by Equation 3) in Matlab.

Using an optimal LQR controller with a state weighting matrix given by

$$
Q = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5
\end{bmatrix}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and an effort penalty matrix given by

$$
R = \begin{bmatrix}
0.05 & 0 \\
0 & 0.05
\end{bmatrix}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
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determine the optimal (2x6) controller gains \( K = [K_m, K_i] \). Note the (2x4) gain vector, \( K_m \), for the feedback controller and the (2x2) controller gains, \( K_i \), for the integral command tracking.

**Question 6**

Determine the poles of the inner feedback system alone. This means determining the poles of \( A_m - B_m K_m \), where \( A_m \) is the original state matrix prior to augmentation and \( B_m \) is the original control matrix prior to augmentation.

**Question 7**

Now we will build an estimator. Using pole placement, determine the (4x2) observer gain matrix \( L \) to place the poles of the estimator at 10 times the poles of the feedback system (determined in Question 6).

**Question 8**

Now we will build a model of the entire system including plant, state controllers and estimator, and reference inputs (using the expression in your notes). Determine the settling time of the closed loop plant to a unit pitch and unit yaw step command (not simultaneous).

**Question 9**

Augment the \( C \) matrix to allow observation of the control signals. Determine the largest step command in pitch, given that both motors saturate at ±5V. Also determine the largest ramp input into yaw (equivalent to a velocity command) that the system can track without saturation.
Simulink Modelling

Now that the controller is designed we can see what happens in a fully developed Simulink model.

Open the Simulink model called “heli_model_estim_bsc.mdl”. It should look like the model shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Simulink state space model of 2DOF Helicopter with integral command following using an estimator. The red “constant” boxes are the setpoints for the pitch and yaw. The orange “gain” blocks are the feedback controller and the integral tracking controller. The plant is modelled in light blue. The observer is yellow. The magenta blocks are scopes to allow you to view the control signals, states and state estimates. The manual switch allows one to change from full-state control to a control system using an estimator. This can be done by double clicking the block.

To run the Simulink model you will need to ensure that the terms you have used match those in the model.

You can view the response of the system using the VRML (virtual reality model) by double clicking on the block. The VR model is shown in Figure 4.

Please note that if you have a joystick on you, then you can control the plant using this. If you do not have a joystick or game controller, you will need to delete the joystick output block.
Question 10

First look at the response of a pitch setpoint of 1 radian using full-state feedback. If you have done everything correctly, the settling times should be in the order of 2 seconds. Look at system output and the input control voltage. Note the maximum of each.

Now repeat this when using an observer to estimate the states. Note the system output and control voltages as done before.
Experimental Rig – Bonus Questions

Now for the fun stuff. If all looks well in the Simulink model, then you are ready to try the real thing.

A modified version of the Simulink model in Figure 3 has been compiled to run on a real-time control system called dSpace. This platform allows for rapid prototyping and testing of real-time controllers. The dSpace DS1104 control board takes the inputs from the encoder and a block converts the pulses generated by the encoder to angles for the pitch and yaw. A Power PC and DSP process the control algorithm before outputting analog voltages to drive the fans via the power amplifiers.

Please note that the helicopter rig is an expensive piece of equipment. Please be careful and do not abuse it.

The controller running on the platform is a full state controller. The angular velocities are estimated using an approximate derivative. This is not recommended in practice unless the model of plant is extremely poor (which in this case it is). The controller also has an estimator which can be toggled on and off.

Enter your control gains in the Control Desk of the dSpace real-time control computer.

Question 11

With the controller turned off, and running the system in open loop try to control the pitch and yaw using the joystick. When in open loop the joystick position simply controls fan speed. Comment on the ease or difficulty of this.

Question 12

Now turn on your feedback controller. Use the joystick to change the angular position setpoint, or alternatively type a setpoint in directly.

Compare the settling times for the real system against the Simulink model, and comment as to why they might differ.

Note if there has been an improvement in the ease of control compared to the open loop.

Question 13

Now turn on the estimator and comment on the controller performance; in particular look at the steady state performance and settling times.
Appendix – Full non-linear set of equations

The simplified equations of motion are then given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
J_{\varphi\varphi} \ddot{\varphi} &\approx R_{\varphi} F_{\varphi} + G_{\varphi}(T_{\varphi},\dot{\varphi}) + F_{Gc} \cos(\varphi) \\
J_{\gamma\gamma} \ddot{\gamma} &\approx R_{\gamma} F_{\gamma} + G_{\gamma}(T_{\gamma},\dot{\gamma})
\end{align*}
\]  

(A 1)

where:

- \(J_{\varphi\varphi}\) and \(J_{\gamma\gamma}\) (which are equal) are the moments of inertia of the body about the pitch and yaw axes respectively,
- \(\ddot{\varphi}\) is the angular acceleration of the pitch, \(\varphi\), relative to the horizontal axis. Positive \(\varphi\) is when the fan is going up and \(\varphi = 0\) represents a horizontal body,
- \(\ddot{\gamma}\) is the angular acceleration of the yaw. The reference for yaw is not relevant. Positive is defined CCW looking from above,
- \(F_{\varphi}\) and \(F_{\gamma}\) are the pitch and yaw forces (respectively) generated by the propellers and are non-linear functions of the input voltages \(V_{\varphi}\) and \(V_{\gamma}\),
- \(R_{\varphi}\) is the distance from the pitch motor to the pivot,
- \(R_{\gamma} = L \cos(\varphi) - h \sin(\varphi)\) is the horizontal distance from the yaw motor to the pivot,
- \(R_{Gc}\) is the horizontal distance of the centre of mass from the pivot,
- \(F_{Gc}\) is the force due to gravity acting through the centre of mass.
- \(G_{\varphi}(T_{\varphi})\) and \(G_{\gamma}(T_{\gamma})\) are (unwanted coupling) torques at the propeller axes and are non-linear functions of the input voltages \(V_{\gamma}\) and \(V_{\varphi}\) respectively, the angular velocities \(\dot{\gamma}\) and \(\dot{\varphi}\), and also the fan speeds.

The expression above neglects the rotor inertial dynamics. This can also be included and will add another state for each rotor.

In order to be able to design a controller, it is necessary to linearise the above expression. First we will assume that the coupling torques are only a function of the input voltages. Also, we will assume that the angular velocities of the body are small, which means that gyroscopic coupling is negligible. Finally, by assuming the pitch angle to be small, the distance at which the yaw motor acts is \(R_{\gamma} = L\) and the gravitational disturbance acts through \(R_{Gc}\) does not change. In doing so, we obtain the expressions presented earlier.
## Appendix – Experimental Setup

This appendix contains information about the rig and how it should be setup.

### System Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body</strong></td>
<td>Rotational Inertia about Pitch Axis, $J_{pp}$</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
<td>Kgm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotational Inertia about Yaw Axis, $J_{yy}$</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
<td>Kgm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Length</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance from pivot to Pitch motor (L)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance from pivot to Yaw motor (L)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base Frame Mass (excluding props/motors)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pitch Fan Assembly</strong></td>
<td>Pitch Prop – Graupner 8”-6” 3 Bladed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diameter (8”)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch (6”)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor – Pittman 9234S004 08-14-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor Mass</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torque Constant</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>Nm/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>Ohms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inductance</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotor Moment of Inertia</td>
<td>4.2E-06</td>
<td>Kgm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viscous Damping</td>
<td>2.6E-06</td>
<td>N.m.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Damping Constant</td>
<td>4.5E-04</td>
<td>N.m.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guard Mass</td>
<td>0.1480</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yaw Fan Assembly</strong></td>
<td>Yaw Prop - Graupner 8”-6” 3 Bladed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diameter (8”)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch (6”)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor – Faulhaber 2842S006C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor Mass</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Torque Constant</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>Nm/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Ohms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inductance</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotor Moment of Inertia</td>
<td>0.98E-06</td>
<td>Kgm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viscous Damping</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>N.m.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guard Mass</td>
<td>0.1280</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pitch Encoder</strong></td>
<td>Pulses/Rev (in Quadrature)</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulses/Rev</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yaw Encoder</strong></td>
<td>Pulses/Rev (in Quadrature)</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulses/Rev</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pitch Motor</strong></td>
<td>Force Constant, $K_{fp}$</td>
<td>0.8722</td>
<td>N/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Amp gain=5)</td>
<td>Torque Constant, $K_{tp}$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Nm/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yaw Motor</strong></td>
<td>Force Constant, $K_{fy}$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>N/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Amp gain=3)</td>
<td>Torque Constant, $K_{ty}$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Nm/V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wiring

Wire the system as shown. Note that there are two different amplifiers supplied with the system.

The larger amplifier **UPM2405** is used for the pitch motor and is supplied with an output cable labelled “Gain = 5". Use this cable from the “To load” to the Pitch motor. The input voltage $V_p$ should be limited to 5 volts and is defined to be the voltage applied **BEFORE** the gain of 5.

The smaller amplifier, **UPM1503** is used for the yaw motor and is supplied with an output cable labeled “Gain = 3". Use this cable from the “To load” to the Yaw motor. The input voltage $V_y$ should be limited to 5 volts and is defined to be the voltage applied **BEFORE** the gain of 3.

The measurements for yaw and pitch are obtained by wiring the encoders to the MultiQ board. The resolution for the pitch axis is 1024 counts per revolution (4096 counts per revolution in quadrature). The resolution of the yaw axis is 2048 counts per revolution (8192 counts per revolution in quadrature). Starting positions should be:

- Pitch: Body horizontal
- Yaw: Starting position

Joystick Input

The system is supplied with a joystick with two output voltages proportional to the joystick handle angles. The joystick can be wired as shown. The two voltages are applied to A/D channels 2 and 3.

You can use the joystick in two ways: Open Loop or Closed Loop. In open loop, the joystick voltages $V_{jp}$ and $V_{jy}$ are used to apply a voltage directly to the motors. This way, the operator commands the throttle directly. Use this mode to examine how difficult it is to control the system in open loop. In this mode the sampled joystick voltages are limited to positive values and then sent out to the motors.

The other mode (which is supplied) of joystick input is to generate a ramp command to the two axes. In this mode, the joystick voltages are not limited to positive values. The voltage is sampled by the program and integrated in software to result in two axis position commands. The rates of integration ($K_{jp}$ and $K_{jy}$) determine the 'ease' of use of the system. The outputs of the integrators are limited to +/-45 degrees for pitch and +/- 15000 degrees for yaw. This is the normal mode of operation when you choose controller “1" or “2".

Caring For The System

This is an expensive piece of equipment. Be careful and do not abuse it.

1. Never apply sudden step voltage changes of larger than 1.5 Volts to the pitch motor (before the gain of 3). By doing so, you are surging more than 5 amperes into the motor and you will eventually damage it.
2. Never let the body drop suddenly from mid flight. Always “land“ the helicopter.
3. Once in a while you will need to clean the contacts of the slipring. Use a contact cleaning solution with a cotton swab and wipe the contact rings. Do not touch the wipers. Keep the system in a clean, smoke free area.

4. If the wipers do bend somehow, use a pair of tweezers to bend them back inward to ensure contact. Never force the wipers. Do not use anything bigger or other than tweezers!

5. You already have an idea of the feedback gain ranges that will stabilize the system. If the system is unstable, quickly shut it off and redesign your controller.

Figure 4: Wiring Diagram